

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/713,189	10/713,189 11/17/2003		Robert L. Horn	A7995.0012/P0012	9560
24998	7590	11/15/2005		EXAMINER	
		RO MORIN & OS	SCHLIE, PAUL W		
2101 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Č				2186	

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/713,189	HORN, ROBERT L.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
-	Paul W. Schlie	2186					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - It NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr 11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 No.	ovember 2003.						
,	·						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· <u> </u>	6) Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement						
on ordinity and obspect to restriction arrange							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 March 2004 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
,							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/2. 		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/713,189 Page 2

Art Unit: 2186

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-45 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claim 1-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

More specifically, the applicant acknowledges as prior art the generation of statistical data critical to the performance tuning and/or servicing of a multi-storage element storage system, further clearly acknowledging it would be obviously desirable to collect and/or utilize such data in real-time without incurring a significant performance degradation, although claimed to be a limiting factor in prior art, and which the claimed invention satisfies; however the applicant's specification fails to disclose an identifiable means by which the claimed invention is uniquely capable of generating the said desirable statistical data more efficiency than may be obviously be achieved in prior art; so is it assumed that some critical aspect of the invention must then be lacking in the disclosure (an assertion of fact, in absents of a means, is not considered enabling).

The application is reminded that no new matter may be introduced into the disclosure. Corrective action is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/713,189 Page 3

Art Unit: 2186

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lam et al. (6,405,282) further in view of Ottesen et al. (5,729,397).

As per claims 1 and 27, Lam teaches a storage system comprising a plurality of storage devices, a cache, a memory, and a storage controller coupled to said plurality of storage devices, wherein said storage devices are coupled to said storage controller through a storage device interface; and means/method for processing host commands wherein a host command for reading or writing to said storage devices may also dynamically record and/or calculate statistics associated with said commands within an arbitrary interval of time for potential immediate and/or subsequent interactive use (see figures 1-2A-B, abstract lines 5-8, column 3, lines 37-42), but does not explicitly teach that the recorded statistics may be stored in said memory (although strongly implied, inherent, and obvious). Ottesen teaches that they may be stored in memory (column 2 lines 17-22). It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the two, for the benefit of maintaining the storage of dynamically accumulated statistics local to the storage system to enable their efficient respective storage and/or subsequent access.

Application/Control Number: 10/713,189 Page 4

Art Unit: 2186

6. As per claims 2-26, and 28-45, being dependant on claims 1 or 27 respectively, Lam et al. further teaches the calculation of sequential/random read/write seek/transfer times and/or cache hit-miss ratios within an arbitrary interval which may occur in situ, where all corresponding necessary steps not explicitly cited are implied as being inherent and obvious. (see column 10 lines 29-40 and 65, and column 13-14 lines 56-11). It would obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to perform these method steps with the context of such a system, for the benefit of enabling the calculation of the corresponding statistical data as may be necessary for any corresponding requirement.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul W. Schlie whose telephone number is 571-272-6765. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 8:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Kim can be reached on 517-272-4182. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PIERRE BATAILLE
PRIMARY EXAMINER